

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

on

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,222	09/10/2003	Gelu Voicu	CAT-12502-1	7704
22888	7590 09/19/2006		EXAMINER	
BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP			LAUTURE, JOSEPH J	
TRI-VALLES	Y OFFICE ANNON BLVD., BLDG. G		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	E, CA 94550		2819	
			DATE MAILED: 00/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/660,2	22	VOICU ET AL.			
		Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit			
		Joseph La	auture	2819			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic			correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions o SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF TH f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. utory period will apply and w rill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 21 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action is r or allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims	·					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 37-122 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 37-77 and 86-122 is/are allo Claim(s) 78-82 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 83-85 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co wed.	• •				
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ion to the drawing(s) the correction is require	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	•	•			•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,222

Art Unit: 2819

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 08/07/2006 have been entered.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 78-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al (US 5,414,389) in view of Mouret (US 5,034,631).

Regarding this claim, Watanabe et al teach in figure (6) a potentiometer circuit (21) comprising: a first reference terminal, and a second reference terminal; and a plurality of impedance devices (RP1...RPm) coupled between the first and second reference terminals; and, a plurality of structures comprising transistor switches (QP1...QPm) in parallel with a corresponding one of the impedance devices.

Watanabe et al do not specifically teach a permanently-on switch in parallel with an impedance device. However, the teaching of a permanently on switch is not new, as evidenced by Mouret (US 5,034,631). Mouret teaches an output circuit having a plurality of transistor switches wherein a transistor/switch Q5 is set to be permanently on (See column 4, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/660,222

Art Unit: 2819

the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Watanabe et al and of Mouret to improve system performance and reliability because that would reduce the Miller effect of the switching (See column 4, lines 44-47).

Regarding claims 79-82, Watanabe et al teach in figure (6) a potentiometer circuit (21) comprising: a plurality of structures including respective switches QP1...QPm wherein: each structure is bypassable by a switching device (when the transistor is conducting) (See column 5, lines 43-51); wherein there are a plurality of structures including a plurality of switching devices, each switching device operable to bypass another structure/switch or another impedance device (See column 5, lines 43-51).

#### Objection To Claims

Claim 72 is objected to because of the following informalities:

On line 1, "claim 75" should be --claim 71--.

On line 6, "first and second" should be --second and third--.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,222 Page 4

Art Unit: 2819

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 83-85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 37-77 and 83-122 are allowable.

Art Unit: 2819

**CONTACT INFORMATION** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Lauture, whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday

between 9:30 am and 6:00 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Rexford Barnie can be reached at (571) 272-7492. The

fax number for the organization to which this application is assigned is (571) 273-

8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-">http://pair-</a>

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll

free).

REXFORD BARNIE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Joseph Lauture Art Unit: 2819

Date: 09/07/2006